

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/869,851 04/16/92 HORI 501.20699V02 EXAMINER BAKER,S 23MJ/0513 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS SUITE 600 22 1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006 2306 DATE MAILED: 05/13/94 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 7/15/93 This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire \_month(s), \_ days from the date of this letter. Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
 Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
 D 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. & Claims 7,9-13, 15 and 17-70 \_ are pending in the application. Of the above, claims \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Claims 3. 2 Claims 12

are rejected.

. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings

are subject to restriction or election requirement.

. has (have) been approved by the

\_\_, has been \_\_\_approved; \_\_\_ disapproved (see explanation).

EXAMINER'S ACTION

7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).

12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🛘 been received 🔾 not been received

13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in

; filed on

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

14. Other

5. Claims \_ 6. Ctalms\_\_

4. \ Claims 7,9-11, 13, 1.5 and 17-70

8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_

Deen filed in parent application, serial no.

11. The proposed drawing correction, filed

10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_

examiner; I disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Serial Number: 07/869,851

Art Unit: 2313

1. Claims 7, 9-11, 13, 15, 17-23, and 25-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7: in lines 22-23, "not smaller but" is redundant and should therefor be deleted; in lines 27-29, "internal supply voltage becomes larger without decreasing in proportion to an enlargement of said magnitude of said external supply voltage" is vague, confusing and apparently misdescriptive and should apparently read as "internal supply voltage up to said third rate becomes larger without decreasing as the magnitude of said external supply voltage increases".

In claim 13: in lines 21-22, "not smaller but" is redundant and should therefor be deleted; in line 23, a comma should apparently follow "rate"; in lines 26-28, "internal supply voltage becomes larger without decreasing in proportion to an enlargement of said magnitude of said external supply voltage" is vague, confusing and apparently misdescriptive and should apparently read as "internal supply voltage up to said third rate becomes larger without decreasing as the magnitude of said external supply voltage increases".

In claim 19: in line 4, "provide don" should apparently read as "provided on"; in line 12, "at as" should apparently read as "at a".

In claim 20: in lines 27-28, "not smaller but" is redundant and should therefor be deleted; in lines 32-34, "internal supply voltage becomes larger without decreasing in proportion to an enlargement of said magnitude of said external supply voltage" is vague, confusing and apparently misdescriptive and should apparently read as "internal supply voltage up to said third rate becomes larger without decreasing as the magnitude of said external supply voltage increases".

In claim 21: in line 17, "thereto" should apparently be preceded by "fed"; in line 31, "not smaller but" is redundant and should therefor be deleted; in lines

Serial Number: 07/869,851 -3-

Art Unit: 2313

35-37, "internal supply voltage becomes larger without decreasing in proportion to an enlargement of said magnitude of said external supply voltage" is vague, confusing and apparently misdescriptive and should apparently read as "internal supply voltage up to said third rate becomes larger without decreasing as the magnitude of said external supply voltage increases".

In claim 22: in line 33, "not smaller but" is redundant and should therefor be deleted; in lines 37-39, "internal supply voltage becomes larger without decreasing in proportion to an enlargement of said magnitude of said external supply voltage" is vague, confusing and apparently misdescriptive and should apparently read as "internal supply voltage up to said third rate becomes larger without decreasing as the magnitude of said external supply voltage increases".

In claim 23: in lines 26-27, "not smaller but" is redundant and should therefor be deleted; in lines 31-33, "internal supply voltage becomes larger without decreasing in proportion to an enlargement of said magnitude of said external supply voltage" is vague, confusing and apparently misdescriptive and should apparently read as "internal supply voltage up to said third rate becomes larger without decreasing as the magnitude of said external supply voltage increases".

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 7, 9-11, 13, 15, 17, 18 and 20-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Alaspa.

Reference is hereby made to paragraph 4 of the Office action mailed on 1/13/93 as paper no. 18 for an exposition of this rejection.

4. Claims 69 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Alaspa as applied to claims 20 and 23 above, and further in view of Takanishi et al.

Serial Number: 07/869,851

Art Unit: 2313

Reference is hereby made to paragraph 5 of the Office action mailed on 1/13/93 as paper no. 18 for an exposition of this rejection.

- 5. Claim 12 is allowable over the prior art of record.
- 6. Claim 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed on July 13, 1993 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

  Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a).

  Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Baker whose telephone number is (703) 305-9681.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

SMB March 3, 1994

> STEPHEN M. BAKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2300